# IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

# AT JACKSON

## **NOVEMBER 1997 SESSION**

| STATE OF TENNESSEE,  | ) NO. 02C01-9611-CR-00430  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Appellee,  | ) SHELBY COUNTY  |  |  |  |  |
| VS.  | )  |  |  |  |  |
| LARRY E. PERRY,  | ) HON. JAMES C. BEASLEY, JR., ) JUDGE  |  |  |  |  |
| Appellant.   | ) (Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender)  |  |  |  |  |
| FOR THE APPELLANT:   | FOR THE APPELLEE:  |  |  |  |  |
| TOR THE ATTECCANT.   | TON THE ATTELLEL.  |  |  |  |  |
| A. C. WHARTON, JR. Shelby County Public Defender   | JOHN KNOX WALKUP Attorney General and Reporter   |  |  |  |  |
| WALKER GWINN Assistant Public Defender 201 Poplar Avenue, Suite 201 Memphis, Tennessee 38103 | GEORGIA BLYTHE FELNER<br>Assistant Attorney General<br>450 James Robertson Parkway<br>Nashville, TN 37243-0493 |  |  |  |  |
|  | WILLIAM L. GIBBONS District Attorney General   |  |  |  |  |
|  | LEE V. COFFEE Assistant District Attorney 201 Poplar Avenue, Suite 301 Memphis, Tennessee 38103                |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPINION FILED:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIRMED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| JOE G. RILEY,<br>JUDGE   |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **OPINION**

Appellant, Larry E. Perry, contends the Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender Act (HMVO Act) violates double jeopardy principles. On July 1, 1996, appellant was declared an Habitual Motor Vehicle Offender (HMVO) based upon appropriate prior convictions. This appeal followed. We AFFIRM the order of the trial court.

The Tennessee Supreme Court and this Court have previously determined that double jeopardy is not violated by the habitual offender declaration. See State v. Conley, 639 S.W.2d 435, 437 (Tenn. 1982); State v. Watson, C.C.A. No. 02C01-9610-CR-00377 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed September 17, 1997, at Jackson); State v. Rowlett, C.C.A. No. 01C01-9605-CC-00211 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed August 22, 1997, at Nashville); State v. Spears, C.C.A. No. 02C01-9606-CR-00197 (Tenn. Crim. App. filed July 10, 1997, at Jackson). We continue to adhere to these holdings.

### **CONCLUSION**

| For the t | foregoing | reasons, | we / | AFFIRM | I the | judgment | of the | trial | court. |
|-----------|-----------|----------|------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|--------|
|           |           |          |      |        |       |          |        |       |        |

|                      | JOE G. RILEY, JUDGE |  |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| CONCUR:              |                     |  |
|                      |                     |  |
| GARY R. WADE, JUDGE  |                     |  |
| DAVID G. HAYES JUDGE |                     |  |